08 SEP 1986

CERTIFIED MAIL P# 235 350 101 RETURN HECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Louis G. Zachary, Sr. Universal Die Casting, Inc. 232 Monroe Street Saline, Michigan 48176

> RE: RCRA Facility Assessment Visual Site Inspection MID 980 795 512

Dear Mr. Zachary:

A review of our records indicate that the Part A and the closure certification for the referenced facility are currently under review by this office and the Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

On November 8, 1984, President Reagan signed and thus enacted the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (RCRA Reauthorization). The Amendments contain a number of far-reaching provisions that may impact the future operations of your facility.

We encourage you to stay abreast of developments relating to these new statutory provisions and we plan to provide further guidance to you as it becomes available. The purpose of this letter, however, is to begin implementation of one aspect of the new Amendments relative to corrective action for past or continuing releases.

All interim status facilities are subject to corrective action requirements, regardless of whether they have 1) submitted a Part B application, 2) submitted a closure plan, 3) reverted to generator status only.

4) actually closed, or 5) none of these.

The Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) response letter from your facility has not been received. This indicates the need for additional information. The RCRA facility assessment (RFA) is the first phase in the process of determining whether solid waste management units (SWMUs) are releasing hazardous constituents to the environment and require corrective action. This RCRA

facility assessment (RFA) includes both a visual site inspection (VSI) and optional sampling visits (SV) to gather additional evidence of releases by the U.S. EPA.

We plan to conduct a visual site inspection of your facility on September 17, 1986, at 10:00 AM.

Should you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact Carol Witt of my staff, at (312) 886-6146.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY/ KARL BREMER

Karl E. Bremer, Chief Technical Programs Section

cc: Alan Howard, MDNR Dave Slayton, MDNR Jim Roberts, MDNR Joe Baker, HWEB

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PROJECT/CASE NO:

SUBJECT
LOCATION:

CITY: COUNTY STATE

DATE TIME

WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)

PHOTOGRAPHER (Sig.)

WITNESS:

CAMERA:

FILM TYPE ASA: T.1/ E.

NEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE IN:

PROCESSED BY: _____

PHOTO #: _____ of ____

MID 980 795 512

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT	
OCATION:	
CITY: STATE:	
DATE TIME TIME	
WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW) PHOTOGRAPHER (SIE)	
WITNESS:	
CAMEDA-	
FILM TYPE: ASA: T:1/f.	
NEGATIVE LOCATION:FILE II:	
PROCESSED BY:	
PHOTO #: of	19
T	
OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-	188
PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT:	
LOCATION:	
ANUALTY. STATE.	
CITY: STATE: STATE:	
ATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
- HOTOGRAPHER (Sig.)	
WITNESS:	
CAMERA:	
FILM TYPE ASA T:1/ ft	
NEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE #:	
PROCESSED BY:	
PHOTO #: of	10
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	The second second in the second of the second secon
OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT.	
LOCATION:	
CITY: COUNTY: STATE:	
DATE:TIME:	
WEATHER: (SUM) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
PHOTOGRAPHER (S/g.)	
WITHESS:	
SRA:	
TYPEASATI/f:	
NEGATIVE LOCATION:FILE #	
PROCESSED 8Y:	- 1 The Same of th
rmuly #	

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	

PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT:	
OCATION:	
\	
CITY: COUNTY: STATE:	
DATETIME	
WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
PHOTOGRAPHER (Sig.)	
WITNESS:	
CAMERA:	
FILM TYPE ASA: T:1/f.	
NEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE #:	
PROCESSED 8Y:	
PHOTO #: of	
GPO 835	- 99
	A STATE OF THE STA
2	
OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
Are cultivated to the contraction of the contractio	10001
PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT:	
LOCATION:	
CITY: COUNTY STATE:	
DATE:TIME:	
FATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
HOTOGRAPHER (Sig.)	
WITNESS:	
CAMERA:	
FILM TYPE: ASA: T:1/f:	The state of the s
NEGATIVE LOCATION FILE #	
PROCESSED BY:	
PHOTO #: of	
GPO 838	- 999
OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	0000
PROJECT/CASE NO:	
SUBJECT.	The second secon
LOCATION:	
CITY: COUNTY: STATE:	
DATETIME	The state of the s
WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
PHOTOGRAPHER (SIE)	
WITHESS:	
'ERA:	
M TYPE ASA T I/f:	
NEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE #	The state of the s

PROCESSED BY:

PHOTO . _

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PROJECT/CASE NO: _____ SUBJECT _____ OCATION: COUNTY:____STATE:__ DATE _____TIME __ WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW) PHOTOGRAPHER (Sig.) WITNESS: CAMERA: ___ PROCESSED BY: _____ PHOTO #: _______ of _____ OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PROJECT/CASE NO: _____ SUBJECT: LOCATION: ___ _____ COUNTY:_____ STATE: ____ DATE: ____ _____TIME: _____ TATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW) . HOTOGRAPHER (Sig.) WITHESS: _____ FILM TYPE ASA T:1/____f:___ NEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE #: PROCESSED BY: _____ PHOTO #: _______ of _____ OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PROJECT/CASE NO: _____ SUBJECT: LOCATION: CITY: ___ COUNTY: ___ # STATE: ___ TIME ___ WEATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW) PHOTOGRAPHER (Sig.) WITHESS: 1ERA:

M TYPE ASA T L/ f: HEGATIVE LOCATION: FILE #

PROCESSED BY:

			THE RESERVE OF THE RES
The state of the s		7.54	
		ACT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	

		N. O.	
The second secon	A LOT MANUAL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	kin kanala	
The second secon			
	and the same of th		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
UBJECT	
TY:STATE:	
ATETIME	
EATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW)	
HOTOGRAPHER (Sig.)	
ITNESS:	
MESA.	
LM TYPE ASA: T:1/_ f.	
EGATIVE LOCATION:FILE #:	
ROCESSED BY:	
HOTO #:of	
GPO 835 - 51	39
	· ·
OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH	a es
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	23

POJECT/CASE NO:	_
ROJECT/CASE NO:	 _
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:UBJECT:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO: JBJECT: DCATION: TY:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO: JBJECT: DCATION: TY: COUNTY: STATE: TIME: SATHER: (SUN) (HAZE) (CLOUDY) (RAIN) (SNOW) HOTOGRAPHER (SIE) ITINESS: AMERA: ILM TYPE: ASA: T:1/ F: ROCESSED 3Y: HOTO #: of	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
ROJECT/CASE NO:	
PROJECT/CASE NO: SUBJECT: OCATION: STATE: STATE:	

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

OCATION:					
יעדני.					TE:
			(CTONDA)		
NITHESS:					
AMERA:					
CAMERA: _ FILM TYPE:		asa	T:1/	1	
CAMERA: _ FILM TYPE:		asa	T:1/	1	
camera: _ film type: negative i	OCATION	ASA: v:	T:1/	1 ILE #: _	



OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



_	D	STEVE	BLAYER
Name of	Preparer:	OTEVE	OSILIE
Dare:	3/6/86		

Model Facility Management Plan

1.	Facility Name: UNIVERSAL DIE CASTING
	Facility I.D. Number: MID 980 795 5/2
3.	Owner and/or Operator: DAUID E. KURDYS, PRESIDENT
4.	Facility Location: 232 MONROE STREET Street Address
	SALINE WASHTENAW MI 48176 City County State Zip Code
5.	Facility Telephone (if available): (313) 429 - 9411
6.	. Interim Status and/or Permitted Hazardous Waste Units and Capacities of Each Unit:
	Type of Units Size or Capacity Active or Closed
-	Storage in Tanks or Containers
	Incinerator
	Landfill TOTAL SIZE > 125' x 140' Close()
•	X Surface Impoundment (a) 66 Tons 249,000 gal/day C105ED
	Waste Pile
	Land Treatment
	Injection Wells
	Others (Specify)

7. Permit Application Status: NO PART B APPLICATION (HWDMS action item WASCALLED IN. (116/84) number)
They Decided to Close
Surface Impoundments.

8. Identification of Hazardous Waste Generated, Treated, Stored or

Disposed at the Facility: (may attach Part A or permit list or reference those documents if listing of wastes is exceptionally long - in that case, to complete this question list wastes of greatest interest and/or quantity and note that additional wastes are managed)

Type of Waste	Quantity	Generated, Treated, Stored or Disposed (note appropriate categories)
F008, F009	66 Tons/YR	Generated, TREATED

9.	Review of Response to Solid Waste Management Questionaire indicates: (check one)
15 15	Solid Waste Management Units exist (other than previously identified RCRA units)
	No Solid Waste Management Units exist (other than previously identified RCRA units)
	It is unclear from review of questionaire whether or not any solid Waste Management Units exist
38	Respondent indicates that does not know if any Solid Waste Management Units exist
10	If the response to question 9 is that Solid Waste Management Units exist, than check one of the following:
	Releases of hazardous waste or constituents have occurred or are thought to have occurred
	Releases of hazardous waste or constituents have not occurred
	Releases of hazardous waste or constituents have occurred or are thought to have occurred but have been adequately remedied
	It is not known whether a release of hazardous waste or constituents has occurred

11. The facility is on the National Priorities List or proposed update of the List
or ERRIS list Yes - indicate List or update Facility is Listed in Michigan Sites of Environmental Contamination Proposed Proper Yes - ERRIS list Prior to completion of the Recommendation portion of the Facility Management Plan, the attached Appendix must be completed.
12. Recommendation for Regional Approach to the Facility: Check one Further Investigation to Evaluate Facility Permit Compliance Schedule
Corrective Action Order (may include compliance schedule)
Tederal Judicial Enforcement Referral to CERCLA for Federally Financed or Enforcement Activity Voluntary/Negotiated Action
State Action Brief narrative in explanation of selection: Evaluate faculity to see if there is any contamination left after closure of surface importament.
a) If further investigation alternative is selected: Site inspection - anticipated inspection date June 1986 State or Federal inspection June 1986 Preliminary Assessment - anticipated completion date August 1986 RI/FS - anticipated date of initiation

special ed Schedule	
o) If Permit Alternative is Selected: Projected Schedule	
Date of Part B Submission:	
Date of Completeness Check:	
Date for Additional Submissions (if required):	
Date of Completion of Technical Review:	
Completion of Draft Permit/Permit Denial:	
Public Notice for Permit Decision:	
Date of Hearing (if appropriate):	
or Denial Issuance:	nermit -
Date for Final Permit of Description of any corrective action provisions to be included in	r permit
	2
c) If Corrective Action Order Alternative is Selected:	
Estimated Date for Order Issuance:	
Description of Provisions of the Order to be Completed by	
Facility:	
contained in Order:	
Description of Compliance Schedule to be Contained in Order:	
d) If Other Administrative Enforcement Action is Selected:	
Projected Date for Issuance of the Order: Description of Provisions or Goals of the Order:	
- 1 - f the Order:	

e) If Judicial Enforcement Alternative Selected:
e) If Judicial Enforcement Arts and Date of Referral to Office of Regional Counsel:
f) If Referral to CERCIA for Action Selected:
Date of Referral to CERCLA Sections:
g) If Voluntary/Negotiated Action Alternative if Selected:
g) If Voluntary/Negocia-
of Coals of Contact or Discussions with
Facility:
Date for Termination of Discussions if Not Successful:
Date of Finalization of Settlement if Negotiation Successful:
h) If State Action Alternative is Selected:
h) If State Action Alternation Date for Referral to State:
Name of State Contact:
Phone:

APPENDIX

The questions constituting this Appendix to the Facility Management Plan must be filled out prior to completion of recommendation elements of the Plan. The purpose of this appendix is to provide a summary documentation of the State and/or U.S.EPA review of available information on the subject facility. The intent is that a comprehensive file review will be conducted as the basis for selection of the recommended approach to a given facility. If the Appendix is completed by State personnel questions referring to available data reference information in State files; for Federal personnel the reference is to Federal files. Where questions refer to "all" available data or information and such material is voluminous, the response should indicate that files are voluminous, and then reference most telling information, for example groundwater contaminants found frequently or at extremely high concentrations should be specifically listed, and information most directly supporting recommended approach to facility should be described. If no information is available in facility files, the response should so indicate. It is also anticipated that this Appendix may be updated periodically as more information becomes available.

1. Description of All Available Monitoring Data for Facility:

Type of Data Date Author Summary of Results or Conclusions

2. Description of Enforcement Status:

Type of Action Date Local, State or Federal Result or Status

None

3. Description of Any Complaints from Public:

Source of Complaint Date Recipient Subject and Response

4. Description of All Inspection Reports for Facility:

Date of Inspection	Inspector (Local, State, Federal)	Conclusions or Comments
8/21/84	STATE	No Violations - Ground Water monitoring
8/27/84	57076	RECORD REVIEW - CIOSURE Plan Violet
11/22/83	STATE	Ground Water monetoring
9/20/83	STATE	RCRA Inspection
3/31/13	STATE	RCRA inspection
9/1/82	STATE	RCRA Inspection

5. During inspection of this facility did the inspector note any evidence of past disposal practices not currently regulated under RCRA such as piles of waste or rubbish, injection wells, ponds or surface impoundments that might contain waste or active or inactive landfills?

 Yes -	give date	if	inspection	and	describe	observation	
		-	**				-
		9					
No						Don't know	

De DO 1. I POLICE DE A SULLEY	,665
6. Do inspection reports indicate observations of discolored soils or dead veget tion that might be caused by a spill, discharge or disposal of hazardous was or constituents? Yes - indicate date of report and describe observations	
Yes - Indicate	
	*
No No	
7. Do inspection reports indicate the presence of any tanks at the facility	
which are location?	- 0 50 +
noticed by visual observation. Yes - date of inspection and describe information in re-	OLC
	¥2
No No	
Don't know ovist at the facility?	
8. Does a groundwater monitoring system exist at the facility? 9. If answer to question 8 is yes, is the groundwater system capable of monitoring system of the groundwater system capable of monitoring system of the groundwater system capable of monitoring system exist at the facility?	nitoring
9 If answer to question 8 is yes, and other Solid Waste Management of	The state of the s
AL COUNT	
program (capable of determining the facility's impact on the	lung the
quality of grundwater in the uppermost aquiter under facility has been implemented.	TO 8
- system III compared	RA
grodikados	
If no, explain deficiency	

 Decribe all informati available. 	on on faci	llity subsurf	ace geology or hydrogeology
Type of Information	Author	Date	Summary of Conclusions
No Information - (District	file search	needed)	
			•
12. Did the facility sub	mit a 103	(c) notificat	tion pursuant to CERCLA?
Yes	Date o	f Notification	on
No No	u.		
13. If answer to 12 is government of the submitted waste management of the submitted with the submitted waste management of the submitted waste of the submitted	ent units	ly summarize identified,	content of that notification. type of waste concerned)
		*	
14. Has a CERCLA Preliming for this facility?	nary Asses	sment/Site Ir	nvestigation (PA/SI) been completed
Yes No	5	10 -	

and the state of t

foo	answer to question 14 is yes, briefly describe conclusions of the PA/SI cusing on types of environmental contamination found, wastes and sources
of	Contamination. No PAIST
-	
0.◀	
4	
16. If	available, having reviewed the CERCLA notification, RCRA Part A and RCRA rt B, it appears that: (CERCLA unit refers to unit or area of concern in CERCLA response activity)
	RCRA and CERCLA units are same at this facility
	RCRA and CERCLA units are clearly different units
	There is an overlap between the RCRA and CERCLA units (some are the same, some are different)
	NO CERCLA Notification
17.	Description of Any Past Releases or Environmental Contamination:
Type No	Source of Release Date Material Released Quantity Response

. . .

·

18. Identification of Reports or Documentation Concerning Each Release Described in Item 17.

None Reported

Title/Type of Report Date Author Recipients Contents

19. Highlight any information gaps in the file - describe any plans to obtain additional needed information.

20. Summary of major environmental problems noted, desired solution and possible approaches.

Discussed in district File sourch

Problem

Solution

Approach

Pros and Cons

Corporate Office 825 Victors Way P.O. Box 1003 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 (313) 665-1500

REGEOVED

January 27, 1986

FEB 0 4 1986

U.S. EPA, REGION V

HOOVER BELLIVERSAL JAN 31 1922

<u>Certified Mail</u> <u>Return Receipt Requested</u>

Mr. David A. Stringham U.S. E.P.A. 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604

Attn: 4HS-13

Re: Certification Regarding Potential Releases

from Solid Waste Management Units

Universal Die Casting

Saline, MI MID 980 795 512

Dear Mr. Stringham:

This is to inform you that the facility referenced above was sold by Hoover Universal on January 20, 1984, to Universal Die Casting, Inc. (UDC). The president is Mr. Louis G. Zachary, Sr., the mailing address is:

Universal Die Casting, Inc. 232 Monroe Street Saline, Michigan 48176

Plant technical information is typically transmitted by Mr. Daniel Judson, plant chemist at UDC, to whom a copy of your original certification document has been forwarded.

If you have any questions regarding this transmission, please call at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Nolan

Staff Envrironmental Engineer

TMN/ml

cc: Dan Judson Ray Jusak L. James Smith



SOLID WAS IL STRAINCH



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 230 SOUTH DEARBORN ST. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

5HS-13

JAN 1 0 1986

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Ray Jusak Corporate Office Hoover Universal, Inc. 825 Victors Way P.O. Box 1003 Ann Arbor, MI 48106

> RE: Closure Plan Universal Die Casting Saline, MI MID 980 795 512

Dear Mr. Jusak

As you know, you have previously submitted Part A of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permit application for the above-referenced facility. Timely submission of "the Part A" has allowed most hazardous waste management facilities to continue to operate under RCRA "interim status", while complying with applicable 40 CFR Part 265 standards.

On November 8, 1984, the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (the 1984 Amendments) were enacted to modify RCRA. Under the 1984 Amendments, all RCRA permits issued after the date of enactment must provide for corrective action for all releases of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents from any solid waste management unit, regardless of the time at which waste was placed in the unit. In addition, all interim status facilities are subject to corrective action requirements, regardless of whether they have 1) submitted a Part B application, 2) submitted a closure plan, 3) reverted to generator status only, 4) actually closed, or 5) none of these. Unless our Agency has formally terminated the facility's interim status, the corrective action requirements apply. Please note that both hazardous and non-hazardous waste can meet the definition of solid waste under 40 CFR 261.2.

We must determine whether releases of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents have ever occurred at the above-referenced facility site. If they have, we must ensure that corrective actions either have been taken or will be taken to eliminate threats to public health or the environment. An important element in our decision process is the information that you provide on the enclosed certification statement. Please read it carefully and either sign it and return it, or return it unsigned with a cover letter of explanation, within 45 days of the date of this letter. At some point in time, public input will be sought to either confirm or deny information you provide, or information we gather on our own, concerning releases and corrective actions.

Sincerely yours

David A. Stringham

Chief, Solid Waste Branch

Enclosure

CERTIFICATION REGARDING POTENTIAL RELEASES FROM SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT UNITS

FACILITY NAME:
EPA I.D. NUMBER:
LOCATION CITY:
STATE:
 Are there any of the following solid waste management units (existing or closed) at your facility? NOTE - DO NOT INCLUDE HAZARDOUS WASTE UNITS CURRENTLY SHOWN IN YOUR PART A APPLICATION
Landfill Surface Impoundment Land Farm Waste Pile Incinerator Storage Tank (Above Ground) Storage Tank (Underground) Container Storage Area Injection Wells Wastewater Treatment Units Transfer Stations Waste Recycling Operations Waste Treatment, Detoxification Other 2. If there are "Yes" answers to any of the items in Number 1 above, please of in each unit. In particular, please focus on whether or not the wastes would be considered as hazardous wastes or hazardous constituents under RCRA. Also include any available data on quantities or volume of wastes disposed of and the dates of disposal. Please also provide a description of each unit and include capacity, dimensions and location at facility. Provide a site plan if available.

NOTE: Hazardous wastes are those identified in 40 CFR 261. Hazardous constituents are those listed in Appendix VIII of 40 CFR Part 261.

in abl to	the units noted in Number 1 above and also those hazardous waste units your Part A application, please describe for each unit any data availe on any prior or current releases of hazardous wastes or constituents the environment that may have occurred in the past or may still be urring.
Ple	ase provide the following information
a. b. c. d.	Date of release Type of waste released Quantity or volume of waste released Describe nature of release (i.e., spill, overflow, ruptured pipe or tank, etc.)
-	
predes the who the tru	certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were epared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system signed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons of manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the submittal is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, ue, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine dimprisonment for knowing violations. (42 U.S.C. 6902 et seq. and CFR 270.11(d))
Î	Typed Name and Title
	Signature Date

CONTINUENC RELEASES AT PERMITTED PACIFICE

SEC. 206. Section 3004 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act is amended by adding the following new subsection after subsection (t) thereof:

"(u) Continuing Releases at Permitted Facilities.—Standards promulgated under this section shall require, and a permit issued after the date of enactment of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 by the Administrator or a State shall require, corrective action for all releases of hazardous waste or constituents from any solid waste management unit at a treatment, storage, or disposal facility seeking a permit under this subtitle, regardless of the time at which waste was placed in such unit. Permits issued under section 3005 shall contain schedules of compliance for such corrective action (where such corrective action cannot be completed prior to issuance of the permit) and assurances of financial responsibility for completing such corrective action."